

# Dynamic fatigue tests according to DIN EN ISO 14801 on ICX-templant®







## Dynamic fatigue tests according to DIN EN ISO 14801

During their lifetime, dental implants are exposed to a multitude of different forces. These are essentially the repetitive pressure load during mastication. Ideally, the patient will use his implants 20, 30 years or more and during this time, massive forces will act on the implants through numerous chewing movements while grinding food.

Although the in-vivo load values for chewing forces and the numbers of chewing cycles found in literature vary, mean occlusal forces from 222 N in the front teeth area to 470 N in the posterior teeth area of young male adults can be assumed depending on different factors such as individual anatomy of the denture, age, gender, dentition, mastication muscles and physique [Eichner K. 1963, Blamphin et al. 1990, A. Balzer et al. 2002 and Duyck J. 2000].

To analyse the material and construction behavior of the implants towards these forces and to determine the maximum load, the mastication cycles are simulated. The international standard DIN EN ISO 14801 describes materials and methods for the conduction of standardized dynamic fatigue tests for endosseous dental implants.

For the ICX-templant implant, dynamic fatigue tests according to DIN EN ISO 14801 were conducted in internal experiments. Implants with shoulder widths of 3.45 mm, 3.75 mm, 4.1 mm and 4.8 mm were tested with straight abutments respectively. In a dynamic fatigue test conducted by the Fraunhofer Institute for Mechanics of Materials (Dipl. phys. Roland Schäfer, Freiburg 2009) according to DIN EN ISO 14801 with an ICX templant implant (shoulder width 4.1) and an ICX templant abutment (15° angled), fatigue strength of 800 N was found. For better comparability between literature data and our test results only tests conducted with straight abutments were considered, see figure 1. Original data are held by medentis medical GmbH.





### Dynamic fatigue tests according to DIN EN ISO 14801



Figure 1: Results of fatigue tests using ICX-templant implants with different shoulder widths (blue)<sup>1</sup> compared to literature data (green)<sup>2</sup>

#### References:

Gubbi, P. et al. (2010). An evaluation of strengths of various dental implant systems from standardized fatique testing (poster). Palm Beach Gardens, FL: Biomet3i

Nobel Biocare Services AG (2011). 74155 GB 1306: Smaller and stronger. Nobel Active<sup>®</sup> 3.0 for safe implant placements in areas with limited space (brochure).

Nobel Biocare Services AG (2009). 22261 GB 0902:Nobel Replace<sup>™</sup> Tapered (brochure).

Nobel Biocare Services AG (2009). Nobel Active® product brochure.

Straumann GmbH (2007). DESBLFACT 11/07: Wissenschaftliche Evidenz zum Straumann® Bone Level Implantat (brochure)

Al-Nawas, Bilal. Small diameter implants - where advanced materials make a difference. Oral presentation held at the EAO 18th annual scientific meeting Sept. 30 – Oct. 3 2009

Tests conducted by Jörn Ockenfels (certified mechanical engineering technician) and Jürgen Köb (certified mechanical engineering technician), medentis medical evidence center, Dernau, Germany (2014).
See references.











